



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

DEBBIE HUGHEY,
Plaintiff,

vs.

GREENVILLE HEALTH SYSTEM
UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER,
f/k/a GREENVILLE HEALTH SYSTEM,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:07-00297-HFF-BHH

ORDER

This case was filed as an employment discrimination action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendant's summary judgment motion be granted and that Plaintiff's case be dismissed with prejudice. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 16, 2009, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein.* Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that Defendant's summary judgment motion be **GRANTED** and that Plaintiff's case be **DISMISSED** *with prejudice*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 20th day of July, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

*As a point of clarification, the Court notes a typo near the bottom of page five of the Report. The phrase "after she received the Notice on September 11" was clearly intended to state "after she received the Notice on October 30."